| Evaluation Criteria  | Poor - 0  | Fair - 2   | Good - 3   | Excellent - 4  | Score |
|--|---|--|--|--|-------|
| Purpose &<br>Participant<br>Outcomes                       | Session objectives & participant outcomes are not stated  | Session objectives and participant outcomes are implied  | Session objectives are stated &<br>the proposal articulates what<br>attendees will know or be able<br>to do as a result of participating<br>in the session | Session objectives and<br>participant outcomes are well<br>articulated. It is clear how they<br>will guide the attendees'<br>session selection | /4    |
| Relevance of<br>Proposal to Stream<br>& Symposium<br>Theme | Proposal is not<br>relevant to the stream<br>and symposium<br>theme                                     | Proposal is somewhat<br>relevant to the stream &<br>symposium theme  | Proposal is for the most part<br>relevant to the stream &<br>symposium theme   | Proposal is directly aligned with the stream & symposium theme   | /4    |
| Contribution to the<br>Symposium and/or<br>to the Field    | Topic is not current or<br>appropriate to field<br>and/or audience. It is<br>outside symposium<br>scope | Topic may not be current<br>but addresses some<br>important issues in the<br>field. It may be a session<br>worth attending | Topic is current and appropriate<br>to the field and audience. It is<br>probably a session worth<br>attending  | Topic is relevant, highly<br>significant and/or innovative to<br>the field. It is definitely worth<br>attending or recommending to<br>others   | /4    |
| Informed by Theory,<br>Practice, and/or<br>Research        | Proposal is vaguely<br>connected to theory,<br>practice, and/or<br>research                             | Proposal is somewhat<br>connected to theory,<br>practice, and/or research  | Proposal is well connected to theory, practice, and/or research  | Proposal makes contributions to development of theory, practice, & research in the field   | /4    |
| Relevant Literature<br>References                          | No - 0 pts  | Yes = 2 pts  |  |  | /2    |
| TOTAL  |   |  |  |  | /20   |

## 2019 Symposium – Rubric for Proposal Evaluation

## Reviewer's Note - Overall Recommendation (Complete this section, including comments, for each proposal reviewed)

| <br>Accept without revisions   |
|--|
| Accept with minor revisions (e.g. – typographical errors, few minor details missing)   |
| Do not accept (proposal does not meet evaluation criteria, does not demonstrate sufficient understanding of the issues so as to offer participants an enriching experience or is not suitable for the symposium format, mission or audience) |