OOC Peer Content Review

The purpose of this document is to provide structure to SME conducting peer reviews of course content. It is based on the one used for open textbook reviews. Resources won’t be reviewed before they are entered into the collection, but they will be tagged once they are.

# Reviewer Information

Please provide the following information:

* Reviewer’s first and last name:
* Reviewer’s email (preferably institutional email):
* Reviewer’s mailing address:
* Reviewer’s title/position:
* Reviewer’s home institution:
* Name of course reviewed:
* Course level for which the OOC is most appropriate:
* Question: Is this review the result of a collaboration with other post-secondary instructors?:
	+ Collaborator name(s):
	+ Collaborator institution(s):

# Peer Review Criteria

When reviewing an open online course (OOC), the following criteria should be addressed. The second item of each criterion asks the reviewer to rate it on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = very poor and 5 = excellent).

1. Comprehensiveness
	1. The OOC covers all areas and ideas of the subject appropriately to meet course outcomes.
	2. How do you rate the OOC’s overall comprehensiveness?
2. Content Accuracy
	1. Content, including supporting and supplementary material, is accurate, error-free, and unbiased.
	2. Overall, how do you rate the accuracy of the content?
3. Relevance and Longevity
	1. Content is up to date, but not in a way that will quickly make the OOC obsolete within a short period of time. The content is written and arranged in such a way that necessary updates will be relatively easy and straightforward to implement.
	2. The OOC uses OER where possible and does not overly rely on paywalled or hyper-linked content.
	3. Overall, how do you rate the relevance and longevity of the OOC?
4. Clarity
	1. The OOC uses accessible and clear language and provides adequate context for any jargon or technical terminology used.
	2. Overall, how do you rate the clarity of the OOC?
5. Organization and Structure
	1. The topics in the OOC are presented in a logical, clear fashion.
	2. Overall, how do you rate the organization and structure of the OOC?
6. Diversity and Inclusion
	1. The OOC reflects diversity and inclusion (e.g., shows people of different genders, races, abilities, sexual orientations). It does not include insensitive or offensive language in these areas.
	2. Overall, how do you rate the diversity and inclusion of the OOC?
7. Recommendation
	1. Do you recommend this OOC?
	2. If not, why?
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